

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

Draft Negative Declaration

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

WARD: 3

Case Number: P12-0727 (Rezoning) & **P12-0729** (Design Review)

Project Title: Rezoning & Design Review to legalize and improve parking lot to serve Medical

Offices at 4990 Arlington Avenue

3. Hearing Date: August 8, 2013

Lead Agency: City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. Contact Person: Kyle Smith, Associate Planner

Phone Number: (951) 826-552

6. Project Location: 4015 Madison Street & 4990 Arlington Avenue, generally located near the

southwesterly corner of Arlington Avenue and Madison Street, in Ward 3.

Project Agent

Engineer

Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Property Owner (Both subject parcels)

Azer Rezk Andy & Cindy Real Estate Holdings, LLC 8753 King Ranch Road

Alta Loma, CA 91701

Debrah E.M. Johnson

919 West Alexander Ave San Bernardino, CA 92405

Project Planner

Chester Ralston Brian Esgate

Ralston Land Surveying 3351 Pachappa Hill 28345 Roan Ranch Road Riverside, CA 92506 Romoland, CA 92585

8. **General Plan Designation:** O-Office

9. **Zoning:** 4990 Arlington Avenue – O-S-1 – Office and Height of Building (One-story) Overlay Zones

4015 Madison Street -R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential (rearmost approximately

11,541 square feet proposed to be O-Office, balance of site to remain

existing zoning)

10. **Description of Project:**

The project site consists of the existing approximately 0.71 acre property at 4990 Arlington Avenue which currently contains an approximately 11,130 square foot single story multiple-tenant medical office building plus an associated parking lot, and the rearmost approximately 11,541 square feet of the existing approximately 0.45 acre property at 4015 Madison Street which currently contains a single family residence. The existing 41 space on-site parking lot which serves the medical office was constructed in 1989 under Planning Case DR-020-889. The site was originally entitled with office uses envisioned. However, the site was occupied by a series of medical office tenants; a use which is permitted as a matter of right in the O-Office Zone but with a parking ratio of one space for every 180 square feet of the medical office, not the 1:250 ratio originally contemplated for office uses. Over time, as the building became fully occupied by medical uses, a parking quantity problem resulted both in regard to the Zoning Code requirements, and in practicality. To accommodate the real needed need for additional parking, the existing walls which provided separation from the residential parcel at 4015 Madison Street (directly southerly of the medical office building) was removed to provide vehicular access to the rear yard of the residential parcel. A gravel parking lot was constructed on the rearmost approximately 11,541 square feet of the residential parcel without the benefit of permit. The parking lot is bounded by chain-link fencing on three sides with no landscaping or visual separation into the surrounding residential rear yards. The improvements were subject to a Code Enforcement action under case, CE- 12-00553. As a matter of information, both subject parcels are under the same ownership.

To remedy the unpermitted improvements, the applicant has submitted a rezoning request to change the zone of the rearmost approximately 11,541 square feet of the residential parcel at 4015 Madison Street from the R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential Zone to the O-Office Zone, consistent with the adjacent medical office building parcel at 4990 Arlington Avenue. The balance of the residential parcel, which contains the existing single family residence, would remain in the R-1-7000 Zone. In addition, the applicant submitted a Design Review application to consider improvements related to the legalization of the parking lot. The proposal involves the paving of the area and striping of 22 parking spaces, installation of end row and finger planters, a two-way drive aisle, a "hammer-head" turnaround to Fire Department specifications, and six-foot high solid masonry walls along all perimeters of the site. The existing wall and gate which once separated the two parcels is proposed to be removed, and a lot consolidation is proposed (to be considered administratively by Planning Staff once the above mentioned cases are approved).

Upon approval of this project and construction of the improvements, the approximately 11,130 square foot building will be served by a total of 63 parking spaces, which will meet the parking ratio for medical offices required by the Zoning Code. In addition, the existing residence at 4015 Madison Street will remain on an approximately 8,288 square foot parcel and in a configuration which will not require any variances.

As a matter of information, this project was considered by the Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) at their June 13, 2013 meeting due the rezoning request of a property located inside the Riverside Municipal Airport influence area. At the meeting, the ALUC voted to deem this project consistent with the Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans (RCALUP).

11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Existing Multi-tenant Medical Office building, unpermitted gravel parking lot	O - Office	O-S-1 – Office and Height of Building (One-story) Overlay Zones; and R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential
North (across Arlington Avenue)	Office / Retail	O - Office	O-S-1 – Office and Height of Building (One-story) Overlay Zones
East (Adjacent property at 4954 Arlington Ave.)	Office Building	O - Office	O-S-1-X – Office, Height of Building (One-story), and Building Setback Overlay Zones
South (Adjacent property at 4005 Madison Ave.)	Single Family Residence	O - Office	R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential
West (across Madison Avenue; and Adjacent properties between project site and Madison/Arlington corner)	Commercial center; financial institution, church, retail business, single family residence	C – Commercial; O - Office	CR – Commercial Retail; O – Office and R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

a. Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission

13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR
- c. Water Quality Management Plan prepared by Esgate Engineering, dated May 28, 2013

14. Acronyms

AICUZ -	Air Installation	Compatible	Use Zone Study

AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan AUSD - Alvord Unified School District

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CMP - Congestion Management Plan
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPEIR - GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

GIS - Geographic Information System

GhG - Green House Gas

GP 2025 - General Plan 2025 IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission

RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan



ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked be impact that is a "Potentially Significant	¥	• • •	t one	
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	Air Quality		
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils		
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality		
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise		
Population/Housing	Public Service	Recreation		
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance		
DETERMINATION: (To be complete	ted by the Lead Agency)			
On the basis of this initial evaluation recommended that:	n which reflects the independent j	udgment of the City of Riverside,	it is	
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will		ificant effect on the environment,	\boxtimes	
The City of Riverside finds that although there will not be a significant effect in the by the project proponent. A MITIGATED	is case because revisions in the project	have been made by or agreed to		
The City of Riverside finds that the property ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT		ffect on the environment, and an		
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.				
The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.				
Signature		Date		
Printed Name & Title		For <u>City of Riverside</u>		



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

Environmental Initial Study

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. **Earlier Analysis Used.** Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.



				וואוט
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			\boxtimes	
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways) The proposed project consists of an infill project within an urbanize where there are no scenic vistas and where direct, indirect and cumu impacts.	ys, Table 5.1. d area comple	A – Scenic and tely surrounded	nd Special Board ed by existing	ulevards, and development
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
1b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Particle V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones - RC Zones and enhance the visual character of Riverside. The propodesignated as a Scenic Boulevard within the Circulation and Communication project involves the rezoning of a portion of an existing residential parking lot through installation of landscaping and applicable drincludes policies intended to minimize aesthetic impacts and impact Citywide Design Guidelines. The Guidelines contain policies applicity parcels. This project will comply with these policies. Therefore, the limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings will cumulatively.	Table 5.1-A dicy Manual, fone) Boulevards ar sed project is unity Mobility parcel off of Marcel off or we-aisles and case on visual rable to parkin project's impa	- Scenic and Title 20 - Cu and Parkways is located near A Element of the Iadison Avenus parking stalls resources throughout the Iadison the Iadison and the Iadison scenic resources through Iadison and the Iadison scenic resources through Iadison scenic resources through Iadison Iadiso	in order to particularly formularly formular	rotect scenic nue, which is n 2025. This alization of a al Plan 2025 ntation of the th residential ding, but not
quality of the site and its surroundings?				
1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 20 Guidelines)	025 FPEIR, 2	Zoning Code,	Citywide Des	ign and Sign
The proposed project is required to implement the General Plan 20 project sites. The proposed project involves the rezoning of a por Avenue, and the legalization of a parking lot through installation of stalls. The General Plan 2025 includes policies intended to minim through implementation of the <i>Citywide Design Guidelines</i> . The <i>Gu</i> their interface with residential parcels. This project will comply with and cumulative impacts on the visual character and quality of the are	tion of an exof landscaping ize aesthetic i idelines contain these policie	isting residen and applicab mpacts and ir in policies apples. Due to all the	tial parcel off le drive-aisles npacts on visu plicable to par nese factors, di	of Madison and parking all resources king lots and
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			\boxtimes	
1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025, Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Country The subsequent development of the 22-space parking lot will involve with parking lots. This lighting would be similar to that which exist significant. Additionally, the site is not within the Mount Palomar L	Citywide Design ve the introducts in the surrounders	n and Sign G ction of new li unding area an	<i>uidelines)</i> ghting typical id would not b	ly associated be considered

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:			,	
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – A Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table The Project is located within an urbanized area. A review of Figure 2025 reveals that the project site is not designated as, and is not adjaced Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Important Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resimpact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to agricultural uses.	re OS-2 – Ag acent to or in p ce, as shown	ricultural Suita proximity to ar on the maps	ability of the only land classification prepared pure pure pared pure pure pared pure pared pure pure pared pure pure pure pure pure pure pure pure	General Plan ied as, Prime rsuant to the
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - Wester State St	es, and Title 1 al Plan 2025 de or under a	9) FPEIR reveals Williamson A	that the project Contract. M	ect site is not Moreover, the
forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
2c. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			es it have any	y timberland.

4

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	-
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes
2d. Response: (<i>Source: GIS Map</i> – <i>Forest Data</i>) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percent native tree cover nor does it have any timberland, therefore no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?		, 🗆		\boxtimes
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricul Preserves, General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Appendix I – Desig 19.100 – Residential Zones – RC Zone and RA-5 Zone and The site is identified as urban/built out land and therefore does not swill not result in the conversion of designated farmland to non-agreeources or operations, including farmlands within proximity of that can support 10-percent native tree cover. Therefore, no impacumulatively to conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or to	gnated Farmle GIS Map – Fupport agricultural use es subject site. cts will occur	and Table, Tit. Torest Data) tural resources es. In addition The City of R r from this pro	s or operations to there are notiverside has n	V – Chapter The project agricultural of forest land
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	2			
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Manager (AQMP)) Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered conforecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's A (TRIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Gove Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Since the project is consistent the AQMP. The project will have a less than significant is implementation of an air quality plan.	and populationsistent with the st travel dema QMP, Region t with the programments (SCA at with the Ge	on forecasts in the AQMP grown and air quantal Transportate of pections of endaged that are coneral Plan 202	dentified by the theorem of the theo	the Southern s, since these ing activities nent Program d population the General insistent with
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				
3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Taber Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District*) An Air Quality Model was conducted using CalEEMod. The resurproject would generate emissions far lower than the SCAQMD three was determined to be less than significant directly, indirectly a contribute to an existing air quality violation. c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? 3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Taber)	s 2007 AQMI lts of the air sholds for sig nd cumulative	P, CalEEMod quality model mificance for a ely to ambien	computer modes showed that hir quality emit air quality	det) the proposed ssions and it and will not

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
		Incorporated			
Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, CalEEMod 2007 Model)					
Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future construction activities under the General Plan are projected to result in significant levels of NOx and ROG, both ozone precursors, PM-10, PM-2.5 and CO. Although long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants remain above the SCAQMD thresholds.					
The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designated as a non-attainment area for ozone, PM-10 and PM-2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone, carbon monoxide, PM-10, and PM-2.5 under Federal standards.					
Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 202 result of the project were previously evaluated as part of the cumular Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed project does not previously evaluated and for which a statement of overriding considerables. Therefore, cumulative air quality emissions impacts are less d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant	tive analysis of result in any lerations was a than signific	of build out ant new significated as par	cicipated under ant impacts th	the General nat were not	
concentrations?					
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tab Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District 2007 Model)					
emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. I requires individual development to employ construction approaches FPEIR MM AIR 1- MM AIR 5, e.g., watering for dust control conformance with the General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM AIR 1 and MM term construction and long-term operational related impacts of the protection and sexual expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations indirectly or cumulatively for this project.	that minimized, tuning equivalent AIR 7 a Care project and designate the control of the control	e pollutant emit pment, limiting IEEMod comp termined that to tonal impacts.	issions (Genering truck idlinuter model and he proposed p Therefore, the	al Plan 2025 g times). In alyzed short- roject would e project will	
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?					
3e. Response: The proposed project consists of a rezoning and construction of potentially expose people to objectionable odors, office and commo subject to SCAQMD Rule 402 governing odor emissions. Through project is not anticipated to cause objectionable odors affecting a suitable to cause directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur.	ercial uses tha h compliance	nt could general with SCAQM	ate objectional D Rule 402, 1	ole odors are the proposed	
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.					
Would the project: a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?					
4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSH0	CP Cores and	Linkages, Fi	gure OS-8 –	MSHCP Cell	
Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic					

				DRAFI
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	_
		Mitigation Incorporated		
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCI	P Rurrowing ((200)	
The project site is located within an urban built-up area and is sur MSHCP database and other appropriate databases identified no pote suitable habitat for such species on site, Federal Species of Concern. Species Animal or Plants on lists 1-4 of the California Native plant that any Federally endangered, threatened, or rare species or their has significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur their habitats.	rounded by e ential for cand California Sp Society (CNI abitats could p	xisting develo lidate, sensitive pecies of Species PS) Inventory, persist in this a	pment and a se or special stal Concern, and Thus there is the state of	tatus species, and California little chance e, a less than
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine A	CP Cores and Area Plans, F Plant Specie P Burrowing Areas and Ver	Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - Ses Survey Area Owl Survey A nal Pools)	gure OS-8 – MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4 rea, MSHCP	MSHCP Cell vria Cells and -7 – MSHCP Section 6.1.2
No wetland or riparian vegetation exists on the project site as it is fu within an urban built-up area, contains existing development. Ge many years and a long history of severe disturbance exists in the habitat could have persisted. Therefore, no impact to any riparian in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the Califo Wildlife Service with implementation of the proposed project will on	nerally, the sarea, such tha habitat or other ornia Departm	urrounding are at there is littler er sensitive na ent of Fish ar	ea has been d e chance that tural commun nd Game or U	eveloped for any riparian ity identified
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS	Ouad Map La	iver)		
The project is located within an urbanized area where no federally Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool project site. The project site does not contain any discernible drain hydric soils and thus does not include USACOE jurisdictional drawould have no impact to federally protected wetlands as defined by and cumulatively.	r protected we , coastal, etc.) nage courses, ninages or we	etlands as defi exist on site inundated are tlands. There	or within property or within pro	ximity to the regetation, or bosed project
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 - Figure	OS-7 – MSH	CP Cores and	Linkage)	
The project site is not located within any MSHCP Criteria Cel significantly degraded and does not facilitate the movement of any The project site is not used as a migratory wildlife corridor, nor does project will result in no impact directly, indirectly and cumulativel fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migravildlife nursery sites.	ls, Cores, or native reside it qualify for y to the move	Linkages. Fent or migratouse as a native ment of any n	further, the property fish or wild wildlife nurse ative resident	dlife species. ery site. The or migratory
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting				

DDAET

				DRAFI
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ordinance?		Incorporated		
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040	 - Establishing	the Western	Riverside Co	untv MSHCP
Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 – Establishing Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual) Implementation of the proposed Project is subject to all applicable F to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. In ad Municipal Code Section 16.72.040 establishing the MSHCP mit Threatened and Endangered Species Fees.	a Threatened Gederal, State, dition, the pro	d and Endang and local policified is required	gered Species cies and regula d to comply w	Fees, City of ations related ith Riverside
Any project within the City of Riverside's boundaries that proposes follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual docume and removal of all trees in City rights-of-way. The specifications care established by the International Society of Arboriculture, th National Standards Institute. Any future project will be in complia within a City right-of-way, and therefore, impacts will be less than standards.	ents guideline in the Manual e National Ance with the significant.	s for the plant are based on rborists Association	ing, pruning, national stand ciation, and the	preservation, lards for tree he American
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan) The project site is located on a previously developed/improved s adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservationabitat conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Corregional, or State habitat conservation plan.	tion Plan, or Therefore, th	other approve e project wil	ed local, region I have no in	onal, or State pact on the
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES.				
Would the project:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				\boxtimes
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A History		and Neighbo	rhood Conse	rvation Areas
and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code) The project is located on a site where no historic resources exist as because the project site has been developed for many years. Therefore historical resources are expected.	s defined in S			
b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc. Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Resources The project is located on a previously developed/improved site with development involving grading/ground disturbance, are propose archeological resources. Therefore, the project will have no is archeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guide.	ources Study) nin an urbaniz ed that would impact direct	ed area where	no activities, ential for dis	such as new sturbance or
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological				\boxtimes
DRAFT Environmental Initial Study 8			P12-072	7 & P12-0729

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
5c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3) The project is located on a previously developed/improved site with development involving grading/ground disturbance, are proposed paleontological resources or site or unique geologic features. The indirectly on a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic	d that would erefore, the p	create a pot	tential for dis	sturbance of
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arca Cultural Resources Sensitivity) The project is located on a previously developed/improved site with development involving grading/ground disturbance, are proposed remains. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indiincluding those interred outside of formal cemeteries.	nin an urbaniz that would cr	ed area where	no activities,	such as new
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
 Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: 				
 Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. 				
6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 - Appendix E – Geotechnical Report) Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the Cit				
project site does not contain any known fault lines and the potential with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that no directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	for fault ruptu	re or seismic s	haking is low.	Compliance
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				
6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appen The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potent cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project compliant associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact of	f the City, or ial to cause mes with Califo	the Elsinore landerate to lar bring Building	Fault Zone, loge earthquake Code regulati	s that would
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report) The project site is located in an area with moderate potential for Liquefaction Zones Map – Figure PS-2. Compliance with the Califor related to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction indirectly and appreciately appreciately and appreciately a	with High So or liquefaction rnia Building (hrink-Swell Po as depicted Code regulation	in the General	Appendix E – al Plan 2025 that impacts
iv. Landslides?				\square
6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figur	e 5.6-1 – Area	ıs Underlain b	by Steep Slope	

				DRAFI
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Ппрасі	Mitigation	ппрасі	
		Incorporated		
 Geotechnical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, T Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP) 	Title 17 – Gra	ding Code, an	nd for projects	over 1 acre:
. The project site and its surroundings have generally flat topograph Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. The directly, indirectly and cumulatively.				
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			\boxtimes	
6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5. Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision Code			Steep Slope, F	igure 5.6-4 –
Erosion and loss of topsoil could occur as a result of the project. Statimplementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SW construction activities. The project must also comply with the Nation regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for whice Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of measure State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 will than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	PPP) establist onal Pollutant h all develops es designed to	ching erosion Discharge Eliment activity no minimize soil	and sediment mination Systenust comply (' l erosion. Com	controls for em (NPDES) Title 18), the apliance with
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Reg General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B Compliance with the City's existing codes and the policies containe related to geologic conditions are reduced to less than significant in	High Shrink B – Soil Types, d in the Gene	-Swell Potent and Appendix ral Plan 2025	ial, Figure 5 x E – Geotech help to ensure	5.6-1 - Areas inical Report) that impacts
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			\boxtimes	
6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5 Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potenti Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set o	al, Appendix	E – Geotechn	ical Report, a	nd California
Expansive soil is defined under <i>California Building Code</i> . Com Subdivision Code- Title 18 and the California Building Code with rebe reduced to a less than significant impact level for this project direction.	pliance with egard to soil h	the applicable azards related	e provisions of to the expans	of the City's
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6	-4 – Soils, Ta	ble 5.6-B – So	il Types)	
The proposed project will be served by sewer infrastructure. Therefo	re, the project	will have no i	mpact.	

				ו ואוש
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:				
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			\boxtimes	
7a. Response: Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment a considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since the section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activ and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent with the identified by the SCAG that are consistent with the General Plan 2 size and scope of the proposed project, a Climate Change Analysis project related impacts (both construction and operational) would direct, indirect or cumulative impact on the environment. Thus, indirectly and cumulatively.	ese forecast mities such as the projections of the projections of the projections of the projections of the projection of the projection of the project of t	umbers were the RTP, the Sof employment Growth Scenarioned by the appendix one	used by SCAC SCAQMD's A at and populat ario." Howeve pplicant to det t would have	G's modeling QMP, RTIP, ion forecasts er, due to the ermine if the a significant
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				
7b. Response: The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies of Global Warming Policy and rules and has established an interim Question A, above, the project would comply with the City's Gendesigned to reduce GhG emissions. In addition, the project wo regulations during construction of the operational phase and will emission to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and a levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Based upon the the discussion above, the project will not conflict with any applicabe the emissions of GhG and thus a less than significant impact we regard.	Greenhouse eral Plan policuld comply we not interfere in 80 percent in prepared Clin le plan, policy	Gas (GhG) the cies and State with all SCAC with the State reduction in Chate Change Avor regulation	nreshold. As Building Coo DMD applicated a goals of resided emissions analysis for this related to the	indicated in le provisions ble rules and ducing GhG below 1990 s project and reduction in
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
 8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Califor 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional The United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) Off regulations for the safe transportation of hazardous materials, as des and implemented by Title 13 of the CCR. However, through the related to the transportation, storage and disposal of hazardous materials are reduced. Therefore, there would be less than significant impact dienvironment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazard b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? 8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Plan 2025 Public Safety Electrical Plan 2025 Pla	rnia Building of LHMP, 200 ice of Hazariscribed in Title compliance wirials, the likeli rectly, indirect ous materials.	Code, Rivers 4 Part 1, OEM dous Material e 49 of the Co ith all applical ihood and seve tly and cumul . 25 FPEIR Ta	ide Fire Departs Strategic Hals Safety preside of Federal and erity of accide atively to the	present EOP, Plan) scribes strict Regulations, and State laws ants would be public or the D, California
Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area				

				PIXALI	
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
Strategic Plan) The project may involve the use of hazardous materials but shall comply with all applicable Federal, State, and local laws and regulations pertaining to the transport, use, disposal, handling, and storage of hazardous waste, including but not limited to Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations implemented by Title 13 of the CCR, which describes strict regulations for the safe transportation of hazardous materials. (See response 7a above for more details). Compliance with all applicable Federal, State and local laws related to the transportation, use and storage of hazardous materials would reduce the likelihood and severity of accidents during transit, use and storage to a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one- quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?					
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D - CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D RUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code)					
Although hazardous materials and/or waste are typically generated rezoning to facilitate the legalization of a 22-space parking lot — proposed at this time. However, all businesses that handle or have required to comply with the provisions of the City's Fire Code and Health and Safety Code Article 1 Chapter 6.95 for the Business Extate regulations impacts associated with the exposure of schools the less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	no expansion ave on-site tra any additional mergency Plar	of the existing ansportation of regulations as as as. Compliance	ng medical of of hazardous is required in the with existing	fice uses are materials are he California Federal and	
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?					
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Ho CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulate EnviroStor Database Listed Sites) A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Go site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project would he public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ed Facilities i vernment Cod	e Section 659	nation and 5. 62.5 found that	7-C - DTSC at the project	
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					
8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005), ALUC Case ZAP1060R113) The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Compatibility Zone(s) C as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for Riverside Municipal Airport as noted in the Riverside County Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to ensure that the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP by the ALUC, impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP Because the proposed project is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			\boxtimes		
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, and OEM's Strategic Plan) The project will be served by existing, fully improved streets, as well as a network of on-site local streetS. All streets have been designed to meet the Public Works and Fire Departments' specifications. As part of the project's construction, a temporary street closing will be necessary. Any street closing will be of short duration so as not to interfere or impede with any emergency response or evacuation plan. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan.					
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?					
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Hazard Areas, GIS Map Layer VHFSZ 2010, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002, Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and OEM's Strategic Plan) The proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildlands exist and the property is no located within a Very High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or a VHFSZ; therefore no impact regarding wildland fires either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occur.					
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:					
Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?					
9a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A – E Management Plan prepared by Esgate Engineering, dated at The project site is currently developed with close to 100 percent of areas. Upon construction of the parking lot for this project, the perm additional landscaped area. A preliminary WQMP has been submitted this project. Furthermore, under the NPDES permit managed by the water quality BMPs, as no new runoff will be generated from the property conveyed by local drainage facilities developed throughout the City the receiving waters. To address potential water contaminants, the State, and local water quality regulations During the construction phase, a final approved WQMP will be restate's General Permit for Construction Activities, administered be measures will be required to be implemented to effectively controlled pollutants during construction. Given compliance with all surface water quality and the fact that the project will not result in project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than significate water quality standards or waste discharge.	May 28, 2013 impervious seable area of the and approperation of the RWQCB, the oject. Urban ray to regional coproject is required for the yequired for the yequired for the yequired for an anti-near time and the policiable of the project is required for the yequired for yequired for the yequired for the yequired for yequired	urface, with the project site wed by the Pule project is no unoff is currer drainage facilitatived to compare project, as wan a RWQCB. d sedimentation of surface of surface sedimentations.	ne exception of will increase olic Works Depot required to antly and will outles, and then by with applications are coveraged as coveraged as coveraged and other and federal law water runoff,	of landscaped slightly with epartment for institute new ontinue to be ultimately to able Federal, ge under the management construction-ws regulating the proposed	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Mitigation Incorporated		
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - R Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, Table P Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU Map of Water WMWD Urban Water Management Plan) The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Water S	F-3 – Weste Supply Basins	rn Municipal s, RPU Urban	Water Distr Water Mana	ict Projected gement Plan,
deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with ground aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level as ground disturbance, structures or paving). Therefore, the project will groundwater supplies.	water recharge no physical a	e such that the lterations to th	re would be a ne project site	net deficit in (i.e. grading,
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
9c. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Water Engineering, dated May 28, 2013)	Quality Mana	gement Plan _l	prepared by E	sgate
The project is subject to NPDES requirements; areas of disturbance Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the prevention of repossible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit process. Therefore directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.	unoff during of projects are	construction. Enddressed as	Erosion, siltati part of the W	on and other vater Quality
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
9d. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and We Engineering, dated May 28, 2013)	ater Quality	Management	Plan prepare	ed by Esgate
The project will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or parthrough grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or amo flooding on- or off-site. Therefore no flooding on or off-site as a impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively that would substantial manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.	g) that would ount of surface result of the	alter the exist runoff in a n project will o	ing drainage p nanner that wo occur and ther	pattern of the buld result in e will be no
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
9e. Response: (Source: Preliminary Grading Plan, and W	ater Quality	Management	Plan prepare	ed by Esgate
Engineering, dated May 28, 2013) Within the scope of the project is the installation of storm water project description portion of this project. As the storm water drawn are				

construction of this project, the storm water drainage system will be adequately sized to accommodate the drainage created by this project. The project is expected to generate the following pollutants: sediment/turbidity, nutrients, trash and debris,

				DIVALI
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
`	Significant	Significant	Significant	Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	
,		Incorporated		
1	. 1	_	111	211 1
oxygen demanding substances, bacteria and viruses, oil & grease, ar				
through the incorporation of the site design, source control and treat				
WQMP. Therefore, as the expected pollutants will be mitigated				
treatment controls already integrated into the project design, the proj				
capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or pro			sources of po	lluted runoff
and there will be a less than significant impact directly, indirectly of	r cumulativel	y.		
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			\boxtimes	
9f. Response: (Source: Water Quality Management Plan prepare	ared by Espati	e Fnaineerina]	8 2013)
The project is over one are in size and is required to have covera				
Activities (SWPPP). As stated in the Permit, during and after con				
implemented to reduce/eliminate adverse water quality impacts res				
ensured that the development does not cause adverse water quality	y impacts, pu	irsuant to its	Municipal Sej	parate Storm
System (MS4) permit through the project's WQMP.				
The proposed development will increase the amount of impervious s	urface area in	the City. Thi	s impervious a	area includes
paved parking areas, sidewalks, roadways, and building rooftops;	all sources of	of runoff that	may carry po	ollutants and
therefore has the potential to degrade water quality. This develop	nent has been	required to p	repare prelimi	nary BMP's
that have been reviewed and approved by Public Works. Final BM				
The purpose of this requirement is to insure treatment BMP's are				
pollutants generated by the project will be treated in perpetuity. Th				
less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	crerore, mipu	ous related to e	ograding water	r quarry are
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as				\boxtimes
mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood				
Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Floo	od Hazard Ar	eas, and FEM	'A Flood Haza	ırd Maps)
A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 0606	55C0710G Eff	ective Date A	ugust 28, 2008	3) and Figure
5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, sh	ows that the	project is, 1) n	ot located wit	hin or near a
100-year flood hazard area and/or 2) the project does not involve	the construction	on of housing	. There will b	e no impact
caused by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively as it will no				
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which				
would impede or redirect flood flows?				\boxtimes
9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Floo				
The project site is not located within or near a 100-year flood has				
FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Floo				
Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, the project will not pla				ard area that
would impede or redirect flood flows and no impact will occur direct	tly, indirectly	or cumulative	ly.	
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss,				
injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a				
result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
	177 14	1.004	14 FI 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	134 \
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Floo				
The project site is not located within or near a flood hazard area as				
5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Ra				
August 28, 20082008) or subject to dam inundation as depicted of				
Flood Hazard Areas. Therefore, the project will not place a structu				
would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injur				looding as a
result of the failure of a levee or dam and therefore no impact direct	ly, indirectly o	or cumulatively	y will occur.	
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				\square
•				
9j. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydr				
Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since	ce the City is a	not located in	a coastal area,	no impacts

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact
due to tournamie will accoundingably indinately on asymptotically		Incorporated		
due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING:				
Would the project:				
a. Physically divide an established community?			\square	
10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)	Urban Desi	ign Element,	Project site p	plan, City of
The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent w requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the significant.	ith the General	al Plan 2025, a	and in complia	ance with the
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or			\boxtimes	
regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project				
(including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the				
purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
 10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines) Although the project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP it has been designed to be consistent with these plans. The proposed project involves a rezoning of the rearmost approximately 11,541 square feet of the residential parcel at 4015 Madison Street from the R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential Zone to the O-Office Zone. However the proposed rezoning is consistent with the Land Use Element of the General Plan 2025 and no variances from the Zoning Code are required. Finally, the project is not a project of Statewide, Regional or Areawide Significance. As such, this project will have a less than significant impact all applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation directly, indirectly or cumulatively. c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or				
 10c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 – Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, enter appropriate Specific Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines) The project site is located on a previously developed/improved site within an urbanized area and will not impact an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. Therefore, the project will have no impact on the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan. 				
11 MINEDAL DESCUIDCES				
11. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – N	Iineral Resou	irces)		
The formational material that underlies the project site is the				
recoverable mineral resources or economic value. The loss of kn would not occur because of the project and no further analysis is re				
would not occur occause of the project and no further analysis is re-	quircu. Tilett	nore, me proje	ci wiii nave I	o impact oil

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
		Incorporated			
mineral resources directly, indirectly or cumulatively.		•			
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				\boxtimes	
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources) The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific areas with the City of Sphere Area which have locally-important mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementation of the General Plan 2025 would not significantly preclude the ability to extract state-designated resources. The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 2025. Therefore, there is no impact.					
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:					
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					
N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use I Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Implementation Tool N-1 of the General Plan 2025 Noise Elementation and compatibility issues have been addressed. The project of the Municipal Code, is compliant with the Noise/Land Use Noi Noise Element, is not within the 60 dB CNEL and (for proximity the significant on the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	Noise Compa 2 5.11-E - 1 Noise Code) ent, this proje meets the Cir se Compatibil o residential e levels in ex	tibility Criterian Interior and interior and interior and interior and interior inte	viewed to ensudards as set for fore, impacts a shed City star	that noise orth in Title 7 N-10) of the are less than	
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?					
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report) Construction related activities although short term, are the most common source of groundborne noise and vibration that could affect occupants of neighboring uses. The project meets the City's noise standards as set forth in Title 7 of the Municipal Code, is compliant with the Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria Matrix (Figure N-10) of the Noise Element, is not within the 60 dB CNEL and (for proximity to residential areas). Therefore, impacts are less than significant related to groundborne vibration and groundborne noise levels as a result of the project directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					
12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-1 – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code)					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
The proposed rezoning and construction of the 22-space parking lot is not anticipated to cause long-term increases in ambient noise levels. However, development of the property in the manner proposed could result in temporary increases in noise levels, primarily during construction. These activities will be subject to compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance and no significant impacts would occur.					
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					
12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction Conditions Report) The proposed rezoning and construction of the 22-space parking		, · -	-		
ambient noise levels. However, development of the property in the noise levels, primarily during construction. These activities will be and no significant impacts would occur.	manner propos	sed could resul	t in temporary	increases in	
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					
 March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005), ALUC Case ZAP1060RI13) The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Compatibility Zone(s) C as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for Riverside Municipal Airport as noted in the Riverside County Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to ensure that the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP by the ALUC, impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively. 					
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					
12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999)and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)) Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips within the City that would expose people working or residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed project consists of development anticipated under the General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING.					
Would the project:					
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?					
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – L Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – Ge 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG C	neral Plan Po	opulation and	Employment	Projections-	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG's RCP and RTP) The project is in an urbanized area and does not propose new homes or businesses that would directly induce substantial population growth, and does not involve the addition of new roads or infrastructure that would indirectly induce substantial population growth. Therefore, this project will have no impact on population growth either directly or indirectly.						
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						
13b. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer) The project involves the rezoning of the rearmost approximately 11,541 square feet of the residential parcel at 4015 Madison Street from the R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential Zone to the O-Office Zone. While the availability of residentially zoned property in the City will negligibly decrease, there are no housing units being displaced. The impact the rezoning will have on the City's housing stock will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Program, which provides for adequate housing elsewhere throughout the City through such avenues as the establishment of three new mixed-use land use designations and one very high density land use designation throughout the City.						
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			\boxtimes			
The project involves the rezoning of the rearmost approximately 11,541 square feet of the residential parcel at 4015 Madison Street from the R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential Zone to the O-Office Zone. While the availability of residentially zoned property in the City will negligibly decrease, there are no housing units being displaced. The impact the rezoning will have on the City's housing stock will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Program, which provides for adequate housing elsewhere throughout the City through such avenues as the establishment of three new mixed-use land use designations and one very high density land use designation throughout the City.						
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.						
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:						
a. Fire protection?				\boxtimes		
14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Locations, Table 5.13-C – Riverside Fire Department Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1) Adequate fire facilities and services are provided to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Fire Department practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional fire facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively. b. Police protection?						
14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Neighborhood Policing Centers) Adequate police facilities and services are provided to serve this project. Therefore, this project will not result in the intensification of land use and there will be no impact on the demand for additional police facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
c. Schools?						
14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boun Boundaries, Table 5.13-E – AUSD, Table 5.13-G – Stud						

19

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
	Significant	Significant	Significant	Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	
		Incorporated		
Level, and Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundarie				
The project will not involve the addition of any housing units t				
Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for additional so cumulatively	chool facilities	s or services e	itner directly,	indirectly or
d. Parks?				\square
14d Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 - P.	arks Onen Si	naces and Tra	∣	
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility				
Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities I	Funded in the	Riverside Rei	naissance Init	iative)
The project will not involve the addition of any housing units that v				there will be
no impact on the demand for additional park facilities or services eit	ther directly, i	ndirectly or cu	mulatively.	
e. Other public facilities?				
14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – C				
Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3 Riverside Public Library Service Standards)	s-F – Riversu	de Communit	y Centers, Ta	ble 5.13-H –
Adequate public facilities and service such as libraries and comm	unities centers	s and are prov	vided to serve	this project.
Therefore, this project will not result in the intensification of land				
additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cu	mulatively.			
		T		1
15. RECREATION.				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood				\boxtimes
and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that				
substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – P	arks, Oven Si	paces and Tra	ils. Table PR-	4 – Park and
Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Tr				
Table 5.14-A - Park and Recreation Facility Types, and T				
in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development I				ers, Riverside
The project will not result in an intensification of land use and the				demand for
additional recreational facilities either directly, indirectly or cumulati		00 110 1		
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the				\square
construction or expansion of recreational facilities which				
might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
15b. Response:			1	C '11'.'
The project will not include new recreational facilities or require the therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively		or expansion o	f recreational	facilities;
increase, more win so no impact directly, indirectly of cumulatives	y.			
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.				
Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy				
establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or				
policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance				
of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of				
transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not				
limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian				
and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4	– Master Pla	n of Roadway	s, FPEIR Fig	gure 5.15-4 –

				DRAFT		
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	•		
		Incorporated				
Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J						
 Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L. Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation E SCAG's RTP) 						
The proposed rezoning and construction of the 22-space parking lot onto existing local streets both during and after construction. The pre trips either directly or indirectly, other than what has already been of this proposal will not generate a significant number of additional venearby intersection will be affected. Therefore, no significant chan only an incremental increase of traffic load or capacity are expected individual or cumulative impact to all applicable plans, ordinance circulation system will be less than significant.	oposed project considered un- rehicle trips, in ge to the leventh with implementations.	et would not ge der the City's it is not antici els of service conentation of th	enerate addition General Plan. pated that the pate of nearby interior interior interior in project and	Also, since LOS of any rections and the project's		
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency						
for designated roads or highways?						
Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exist of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at Le Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation E SCAG's RTP) The proposed rezoning and construction of the 22-space parking lot onto existing local streets both during and after construction. The pre trips either directly or indirectly, other than what has already been of this proposal will not generate a significant number of additional of nearby intersection will be affected. Therefore, no significant chan only an incremental increase of traffic load or capacity are expected individual or cumulative impact to all applicable plans, ordinance circulation system will be less than significant.	ting and Typic rection Impro OS E or F in Element Traff and will result oposed project considered und rehicle trips, if ge to the level of with implement	cal Density Sovement Record 2025, Table 5 fic Study and a study and the twould not get der the City's at is not anticiple of service of the centation of the sovement of the sovement at the sort and th	cenario Intersumendations, 5.15K – Free Interest Intere	rable 5.15-J way Analysis dy Appendix, ehicular trips nal vehicular Also, since LOS of any resections and the project's		
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?						
16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999)and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005) The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Compatibility Zone(s) C as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for Riverside Municipal Airport as noted in the Riverside County Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to ensure that the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RCALUCP by the ALUC, impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively.						
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?						
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping and The proposed project as conditioned is compatible with adjacent expenses.)			heen designed	Leo as not to		

TOOLING (AND OLIDBODETING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Significant	Significant	Significant	Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	•
,		Mitigation Incorporated		
cause any incompatible use or additional or any hazards to the su	rrounding are	_	public. As a	condition of
approval, a six-foot high solid masonry wall will be required adjacent to all existing residential properties. Therefore, this				
project will have a less than significant impact on increasing hazar	ds through de	sign or incom	patible uses ei	ther directly,
indirectly or cumulatively.				
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?			Ш	
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual, Municipal Code, and Fire Code)				
The project has been developed in compliance with Title 18, Sect (California Fire Code 2007); therefore, there will be no impact direct				
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding				
public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise				
decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?		D : C'	. 1	
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land & Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, Sci				
The project, as designed, does not create conflicts with adopted		_		-
transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the				
cumulatively on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alte	rnative transpo	ortation.		
		Ī		
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:				
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			\boxtimes	
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 -	Sewer Facilit	ies Map, FPE	IR Figure 5.	16-5 – Sewer
Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewate				
Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater General 5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan an			Served by WM	IWD , Figure
All new development is required to comply with all provisions of the	•	*	City's Munic	inal Separate
Sewer Permit (MS4), as enforced by the Regional Water Quality				
project would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requirem				
sewer system or stormwater system within the City. Because the			ed to adhere	to the above
regulations related to wastewater treatment the project will have a less		mipaci.		
 Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing 	Ш			
facilities, the construction of which could cause significant				
environmental effects?				
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - RP				
Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table P Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU, FPEIR Table				
RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-I - C				
J - General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWI		•		*
Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of				
Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planni Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wa	astewater Inte	grated Master	Plan and Cer	rtified EIR.)
The project will not result in the construction of new or expanded visit to the Toronto Constitution of the Constitution of th				
consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 20 determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-				
Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in				
facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or				. ,
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water			\boxtimes	

				DRAFI		
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?		•				
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Facilities) The increase in impervious surface area resulting from the construction of the 22-space parking lot will generate increased storm water flows with potential to impact drainage facilities and require the provision of additional facilities. However, the Subdivision Code (Title 18, Section 18.48.020) requires drainage fees to be paid to the City for new construction. Fees are transferred into a drainage facilities fund that is maintained by Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. This Section also complies with the California Government Code (section 66483), which provides for the payment of fees for construction of drainage facilities. Fees are required to be paid as part of the conditions of approval/waiver for filing of a final map or parcel map.						
General Plan 2025 Policies PF 4.1 and PF 4.3 require the City to conto fund and improve those systems as identified in the City's Capital will ensure that the City is adequately served by drainage systems programs that will minimize the environmental effects of the development have less than significant on existing storm water drainage facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	l Improvemers. The General	nt plan. Imple ral Plan 2025 ach facilities.	mentation of t also includes Therefore, the	hese policies policies and project will		
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?						
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service Areas, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities, Table 5.16-E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, Table 5.16-F – Projected Water Demand, Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-H – Current and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WMWD Table 5.16-I Current and Projected Water Use WMWD, Table 5.16-J – General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWD Including Water Reliability 2025, RPU Master Plan, EMWD Master Plan, WMWD Master Plan, and Highgrove Water District Master Plan) The project will not exceed expected water supplies. The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future water supplies were determined to be adequate (see Tables t.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-H, 5.16-I and 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in the insufficient water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?						
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service Areas, Figure 5.16-6 - Sewer Infrastructure, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning Area Served by WMWD, and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)						
The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (Regional Water Quality Control Board). The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future wastewater generation was determined to be adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Further, the current Wastewater Treatment Master Plan anticipates and provides for this type of project. Therefore, no impact to wastewater treatment directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.						
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?						
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Land) Generation from the Planning Area) The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Buil determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the Ge	d-out Project	level where f	uture landfill	capacity was		

				DRAFI
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
in a cincin so cincin.		Mitigation Incorporated		
landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.		1 1		
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Manager	ment Board 2	002 Landfill F	acility Compl	iance Study)
The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public I least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The Cabove State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and I non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as a regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impacts related to cumulatively.	City is current g Code require 00% of excav l project mus uch would no	tly achieving a es all developm rated soil and t comply with t conflict with	a 60% diversing the City's wany Federal, S	on rate, well 50% of non- debris for all aste disposal State, or local
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.				
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range				
of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSH and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endem Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverin Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas 2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were Initial Study, and were all found to be less than significant. Additional paleontological resources related to major periods of California and Ca	HCP Area Plant Specific Plant Specif	ons, Figure 5.4 cies Survey Ar Owl Survey A Vernal Pools Archaeologie Riverside M the Biological in macts to of Riverside's	1-4 - MSHCP ea, Figure 5.4 rea, MSHCP , and FPEIR ical Sensitivity unicipal Code Resources Se cultural, archachistory or pre	Criteria Cells 4-7 – MSHCP Section 6.1.2 5 Table 5.5-A 7, Figure 5.5- 6) ection of this eological and
discussed in the Cultural Resources Section of this Initial Study, and	were found to	be less than	significant.	
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 - Long-Term Eff	fects/ Cumula	tive Impacts j	for the Gener	al Plan 2025
Program) Because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, no cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previous significant .		-	-	
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			\boxtimes	
18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental In		•		-
Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, ai	r quality, hydi	rology & wate	r quality, nois	e, population

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of this initial study and found to be less than significant for each of the above sections. Based on the analysis and conclusions in this initial study, the project will not cause substantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings. Therefore, potential direct and indirect impacts on human beings that result from the proposed project are **less than significant**.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).

